SOURCES OF HETEROGENEITY IN THE CORRELATION BETWEEN AMBIENT, OUTDOOR AND PERSONAL CONCENTRATIONS OF PM_{10} : IMPLICATIONS FOR BIAS IN EPIDEMIOLOGIC STUDIES

Katelyn Holliday, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States of America Jingjing Li, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States of America Dr. Christy Avery, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States of America Dr. Charles Poole, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States of America Ronald Williams, US Environmental Protection Agency, Raleigh, North Carolina, United States of America Kathleen McGraw, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States of America Dr. Eric Whitsel, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, United States of America

Background and Aims: Although ambient and outdoor concentrations of particulate matter $\leq 10\mu m$ (PM₁₀) are often used as surrogates for personal exposure in epidemiologic studies, the observed correlation between ambient, outdoor, and personal measurements vary. Factors underlying this variation among studies remain poorly understood despite their potential impact on exposure measurement error in PM₁₀-health associations.

Methods: We therefore conducted a systematic review of seven electronic reference databases for literature examining the longitudinal, within-person correlation between ambient, outdoor and personal PM₁₀ concentrations.

Results: This review identified 699 studies, of which 15(2%) met inclusion criteria. The studies were published between 1990 and 2009, conducted in five countries, and included 336 participants (age range: 7, 86 years; 14% with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)). Of 19 populations represented by the studies, 9(47%) examined ambient-personal correlations and 10(53%) outdoor-personal correlations. The median correlation coefficient (r), based on a median of 9 ambient, outdoor-personal PM₁₀ pairs per participant, was 0.48 (range: 0.13, 0.72). There was little evidence of funnel plot asymmetry (P_{Begg} =0.9; P_{Egger} =0.4), but substantial among-study heterogeneity of r (P<0.001). In random-effects meta-regressions, r was higher among studies with ambient, outdoor PM₁₀ concentrations at or above the median of 28.9 μ g/m³ (difference in r: 0.27, 95% confidence interval 0.03, 0.48), with personal: ambient, outdoor concentration ratios below the median of 1.5 (difference in r: 0.34, 95% confidence interval 0.12, 0.53), and in participants without versus with COPD: (difference in r: 0.38, 95% confidence interval 0.10.0.60).

Conclusions: The observed magnitude and heterogeneity of correlations between ambient, outdoor and personal PM_{10} concentrations are similar to those described by recently published meta-analyses of $PM_{2.5}$. Together, the findings help quantify a common form of measurement error associated with reliance on ambient, outdoor PM mass concentrations as proxies for personal exposure to coarse and fine particulates in epidemiologic studies.

References:

Arhami M, et al. Associations between personal, indoor, and residential outdoor pollutant concentrations: implications for exposure assessment to size-fractionated particulate matter. J Air Waste Manag Assoc 2009; 59(4): 392-404.

Avery CL, et al. Estimating error in using ambient PM2.5 concentrations as proxies for personal exposures: a review. Epidemiology 2010; 21(2): 215-223.

Avery CL, et al. Estimating error in using residential outdoor PM2.5 concentrations as proxies for personal exposures: a meta-analysis. Environmental Health Perspectives 2010; 118(5):673-678.

Field AP. Meta-analysis of correlation coefficients: a Monte Carlo comparison of fixed- and random-effects methods. Psychol Methods 2001; 6:161-180.

Hsu S. Characterization of Exposures to Urban Particulate Matter (PM) and its Components in COPD Patients in New York City and Seattle. PhD dissertation. New York University 2009.

Janssen NAH, et al. Childhood exposure to PM10: relation between personal, classroom, and outdoor concentrations. Occupational and Environmental Medicine 1997; 54(12): 888-894.

Janssen NAH, et al. Personal sampling of particles in adults: Relation among personal, indoor, and outdoor air concentrations. American Journal of Epidemiology 1998; 147(6): 537-547.

Linn WS, et al. Day-to-day particulate exposures and health changes in Los Angeles area residents with severe lung disease. J Air Waste Manag Assoc 1999; 49(9 Spec No):108-15.

Lioy PJ, et al. The Personal, Indoor and Outdoor Concentrations of PM-10 Measured in an Industrial Community During Winter. Atmospheric Environment 1990; 24(1): 57-66.

Rodes CE, et al. The relationships between personal PM exposures for elderly populations and indoor and outdoor concentrations for three retirement center scenarios. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol 2001; 11(2): 103-15.

Rojas-Bracho L, Suh HH, and Koutrakis P. Relationships among personal, indoor, and outdoor fine and coarse particle concentrations for individuals with COPD. J Expo Anal Environ Epidemiol 2000; 10(3): 294-306.

Rojas-Bracho L. et al. Personal exposures to particles and their relationships with personal activities for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients living in Boston. J Air Waste Manag Assoc 2004; 54(2): 207-17.

Sarnat JA, Koutrakis P, and Suh HH. Assessing the relationship between personal particulate and gaseous exposures of senior citizens living in Baltimore, MD. J Air Waste Manag Assoc 2000; 50(7): 1184-98.

Scapellato ML, et al. Personal PM(10) exposure in asthmatic adults in Padova, Italy: seasonal variability and factors affecting individual concentrations of particulate matter. Int J Hyg Environ Health 2009; 212:626-636.

Wallace L. Indoor particles: a review. J Air Waste Manag Assoc 1996; 46(2): 98-126.

Watcholayann P, et al. Exposure to PM-10 of shop house dwellers in Bangkok, Thailand. ScienceAsia 2005; 31(4): 359-367.

Wheeler AJ, et al. Characterisation of particulate matter sampled during a study of children's personal exposure to airborne particulate matter in a UK urban environment. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 2000; 65(1-2): 69-77.

Williams R, et al. Personal coarse particulate matter exposures in an adult cohort. Atmospheric Environment 2008; 42(28): 6743-6748

Yip FY, et al. Personal exposures to particulate matter among children with asthma in Detroit, Michigan. Atmospheric Environment 2004; 34: 5227-5236.